# CRYSTAL STRUCTURE OF THE NATIVE SESQUITERPENE LACTONE 8α-ANGELOYLOXY-10β,11α-DIACETOXYSLOV-3-ENOLIDE\*

Urszula Rychlewska<sup>a</sup> and Miroslav Holub<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Crystallography, Faculty of Chemistry,

A. Mickiewicz University, 60-180 Poznań, Poland and

<sup>b</sup> Institute of Organic Chemistry and Biochemistry,

Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, 166 10 Prague 6, Czechoslovakia

Received March 16, 1989 Accepted June 16, 1989

The X-ray structural analysis of  $8\alpha$ -angeloyloxy- $10\beta$ , $11\alpha$ -diacetoxyslov-3-enolide (I) confirms the structure of I deduced from spectral data and from the X-ray analysis of its derivative II. The stereostructure of I is discussed and compared with that of II and III.

A naturally occuring sesquiterpene lactone  $8\alpha$ -angeloyloxy-10 $\beta$ ,11 $\alpha$ -diacetoxy-slov--3-enolide (I) was isolated among other lactones from Laserpitium siler L. (Umbelliferae family, Laserpitieae tribe) and originally was given a name acetylisomontanolide<sup>1</sup>. On the basis of physical methods, mainly <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy, the structure I was proposed for this compound<sup>2</sup> indicating that it belongs to a novel stereochemical group of  $1\beta H, 5\beta H, 6\alpha H, 7\alpha H$ -guaian-6,12-olides for which we proposed the name slovanolides<sup>3</sup>.



Confirmation of the structure I was sought through crystallographic analysis, but any attempt to solve the crystal structure of the native compound failed. An indirect evidence for the correct assignment of structure I to acetylisomontanolide came from the X-ray analysis<sup>4</sup> of its derivative II, prepared by a multistep reaction sequence

<sup>\*</sup> Part CCCI in the series On Terpenes; Part CCC: Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 54, 1919 (1989).

in which an inversion at the chiral centers should not take place. X-Ray analysis of II not only confirmed the presumed structure of I but also allowed us to correct the structure of closely related lactone III, isolated from *Laserpitium marginatum*, for which an X-ray analysis was also carried out, but the results were incorrectly interpreted<sup>5</sup>. We now report the results of a successful X-ray analysis of I. The inherent problem of solving a structure in the symmorphic space group (A2) was compounded, in this case, by the existence of high thermal motions or conformational disorder in the angelate group attached to C(8).



# **EXPERIMENTAL**

Crystal Data

 $C_{24}H_{32}O_8$ , m.p. 134°C, monoclinic, space group A2, a = 8.499(1), b = 8.408(1), c = 35.924(7)Å,  $\beta = 105.99(1)^\circ$ , V = 2.467.7(7) Å<sup>3</sup>,  $D_m = 1.20$  (by flotation in aqueous solution of KI),  $D_c = 1.21$  g/cm<sup>3</sup> for Z = 4.

# Crystallographic Measurements

Preliminary Weissenberg photographs indicated monoclinic centered cell, and since the compound is an optically active natural product the space group is A2.

A crystal of approximate dimensions  $0.3 \times 0.3 \times 0.4$  mm was used for data collection on a Syntex P2<sub>1</sub> diffractometer using graphite monochromated CuK<sub>a</sub> radiation. Lattice constants and an orientation matrix were obtained from a least-square fit of 15 centered reflections. Intensities were measured using  $\theta - 2\theta$  scan technique, with the scan rate depending directly on the net count obtained on rapid pre-scans for each reflection. Two standard reflections were monitored after collection of every 100 reflections as a check of electronic reliability and crystal stability, and no abnormalities were noted. One forth of the reciprocal sphere was collected such that h and k were non-negative. 1 791 unique reflections measured of which 146 were considered unobserved [ $I < 2\sigma(I)$ ]. The background and integrated intensity for each reflection were evaluated from a profile analysis according to Lehmann and Larsen<sup>6</sup> using the PRAN program<sup>7</sup>. Lorentz and polarization factors were applied in reducing the intensities to structure factor amplitudes, but no absorption correction was deemed necessary [ $\mu(CuK_a) = 0.76 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ ]. All data having  $I \ge 2\sigma(I)$  were used in least squares refinement, with the exception of two low-angle reflections found to be poorly determined. Anomalous dispersion corrections were applied to the scattering factors of the oxygen and carbon atoms.

#### Structure Analysis

The structure was solved by direct methods only with considerable difficulty. Initially no meaningful structural models were obtained using MULTAN (ref.<sup>8</sup>) packages. Several attempts on the evaluation of the E-values were made by providing structural information as randomly oriented and randomly positioned molecular fragments. Finally, almost complete molecular fragment of the lactone<sup>4</sup> III gave encouraging values of the figures of merit, but still no chemically reasonable model could be deduced from the E-map. At this point the coordinates of the top 7 peaks were input to DIRDIF (ref.<sup>9</sup>) which in two successive calculations revealed all non-hydrogen atoms present in the asymmetric part of the unit cell. The usual sequence of isotropic and anisotropic full matrix least-squares was followed. The angelate group attached to C(8) showed a geometry which was obviously not sensible from any chemical argument, and could only be attributed to some statistical disorder or high thermal motions of this group. No reasonable disorder model could be found. Therefore, at the final stages of the refinement an idealized geometry was set up for the  $-C(CH_3)$  = CH.CH<sub>3</sub> group of the angelate side chain and this group was further refined as a "rigid group". The hydrogen atoms were placed at calculated positions and were subjected to constraint refinement, with the exception of hydrogen atoms from the angelate group the positions of which were not included in the structure factor calculations. All H-atoms included in the refinement were assigned a common isotropic temperature factor refined to  $U = 0.121 \text{ Å}^2$ . At the end of the refinement an empirical isotropic extinction parameter x was introduced to correct the calculated structure factors by multiplying them by a factor  $1 - xF_c^2/\sin\Theta$  and it refined to a value  $1.3(1) \cdot 10^{-6}$ . The function minimized was  $\sum (|F_o| - |F_c|)^2$  with  $w = 1/[\sigma^2 F_o + 0.001F_0^2]$  where  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation of the observed amplitudes, based on counting statistics. Convergence attained at R = 0.058 (wR = 0.086) for 1 642 observed reflections. The final difference map showed minima and maxima ranging from -0.002 to +0.25 e Å<sup>-3</sup>, the latter lying in the near vicinity of atoms C(19), C(20), C(21) and C(22). The refinement was carried out using SHELX-76 program<sup>10</sup>.

Final positional parameters for non-hydrogen atoms are listed in Table I. Tables of observed and calculated structure factors are available from the author (U.R.) on request.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The X-ray study of  $8\alpha$ -angeloyloxy-10 $\beta$ ,11 $\alpha$ -diacetoxy-slov-3-enolide (I) confirms the structure deduced from spectral techniques<sup>2,3</sup> and from X-ray analysis<sup>4</sup> of its derivative II. The stereostructure of the molecule of I is depicted if Fig. 1, which is an ORTEP (ref.<sup>11</sup>) drawing. No absolute configuration assignments have been made by anomalous dispersion method. The absolute configuration shown in Fig. 1 has been deduced earlier<sup>2</sup>. The title compound is a guaianolide type sesquiterpene lactone, containing a five-membered ring *cis*-fused to a seven membered ring and a *cis*-fused (at C(6)—C(7))  $\gamma$ -lactone. The three ring skeleton is of the *cis-transoid-cis* configuration, with hydrogen atoms at C(1) and C(5)  $\beta$ -, and at C(6) and C(7)  $\alpha$ -oriented. The solid state conformation is described by torsion angles and puckering parameters<sup>12</sup> depicted in Fig. 2 and is compared with the conformation of closely related compounds II and III in Table II. The puckering parameters for cyclopentene indicate a non-planar conformation (average torsion angle moduli is 24°), intermediate between C(1) $\alpha$ -envelope and C(1) $\alpha$ ,C(5) $\beta$ -half chair. The five-membered ring puckering coordinates  $\phi_m$  and  $\Delta$  were calculated from the endocyclic torsion angles  $\phi_j$  by using a method introduced by Altona, Geise and Romers<sup>12</sup> which is summarized by equation

$$\phi_i = \phi_m \cos(\Delta + 4\pi_i/5), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots 4,$$

where  $\phi_m$  is the amplitude and  $\Delta$  is the phase angle of the puckering.

The seven-membered ring approximates the twist chair conformation, although with considerable deviations from the ideal form. As a measure of the deviations the sum ( $\Sigma$ ) of the absolute values of differences of symmetry related torsion angles is used<sup>13</sup>.  $\Sigma_2$  and  $\Sigma_s$  represent deviations from ideal  $C_2$  and  $C_s$  symmetry of the seven-membered ring. For the ideal boat and chair forms the ring contains a mirror plane with  $\Sigma_s = 0^\circ$  and  $\Sigma_2 = 114^\circ$  and 205°, respectively. For the ideal twisted form the ring contains a two-fold axis;  $\Sigma_2 = 0^\circ$  and  $\Sigma_s = 215^\circ$  and 141° for the twisted boat and chair forms, respectively. The  $\Sigma_2$  and  $\Sigma_s$  parameters are calculated in a manner described by McPhail and Sim<sup>13</sup>.

$$egin{aligned} & \Sigma_2 = (|\phi_1 - \phi_6| + |\phi_2 - \phi_5| + |\phi_3 - \phi_4|)\,, \ & \Sigma_{\mathrm{s}} = (|\phi_6 + \phi_7| + |\phi_1 + \phi_5| + |\phi_2 + \phi_4| + |\phi_3|) \end{aligned}$$





Fig. 1

ORTEP (ref.<sup>11</sup>) view of the molecule I showing the numbering scheme. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at 25% probability level

FIG. 2

Endocyclic torsion angles (°) and puckering parameters describing conformation of the three-ring-skeleton in I. Best preserved mirror and two-fold rotational symmetries are indicated by dashed and solid lines, respectively The  $\phi_1 - \phi_7$  terms are the torsion angles for the seven-membered ring and appear in sequential order in Table II. The  $\Sigma$  value for  $C_2$  symmetry is 55.7° for the molecule of *I*, which may be compared with the ideal twist chair value of 0.0° and with the

TABLE I

Final fractional coordinates and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters with e.s.d.'s of the refined parameters in parentheses for the molecule I.  $U_{eq} = (1/3) \sum_{i} \sum_{j} U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* a_i \cdot a_j$ 

 Atom	x/a	y/b	z/c	$U_{eq}, Å^2$	
<b>C</b> (1)	-0.4546(6)	-0.2892(8)		60(3)	
<b>C</b> (2)	-0.3633(7)	-0.2016(9)	-0.2526(2)	71(2)	
<b>C</b> (3)	-0.4864(8)	-0.2064(9)	-0.2292(1)	73(2)	
C(4)	-0.6358(7)	-0.2357(8)	-0.2515(1)	64(2)	
C(5)	-0.6339(6)	-0.2393(8)	-0.2940(1)	56(2)	
<b>C</b> (6)	-0.6765(6)	-0.0692(8)	-0.3096(1)	59(2)	
<b>C</b> (7)	-0.7019(6)	-0.0349(9)	-0.3534(1)	56(2)	
<b>C</b> (8)	-0.6115(6)	-0.1488(9)	-0.3721(1)	60(2)	
<b>C</b> (9)	-0.4292(7)	-0·1518(9)	-0·3517(2)	69(2)	
<b>C</b> (10)	-0·3770(6)	-0·2870(9)	-0·3233(2)	64(2)	
<b>C</b> (11)	-0·8885(6)	-0·0243(8)	-0·3695(1)	57(2)	
<b>C</b> (12)	-0.9459(7)	0.0148(8)	-0.3341(2)	61(2)	
<b>C</b> (13)	-0.9735(7)	-0.1790(10)	-0.3867(2)	73(2)	
C(14)	-0.1915(7)	-0.3005(10)	-0.3073(2)	80(2)	
C(15)	0.7864(9)	-0·2599(10)	-0·2381(2)	80(2)	
<b>C</b> (16)	-0·9177(7)	0.2488(10)	-0·3880(2)	78(3)	
<b>C</b> (17)	-0·9890(12)	0.3558(14)	-0·4220(3)	112(4)	
<b>C</b> (18)	-0.6746(10)	0.1924(17)	-0.4400(2)	98(4)	
<b>C</b> (19)	-0.7018(11)	-0.1116(15)	-0·4785(1)	142(7)	
C(20)	-0·7247(11)	-0.1928(15)	-0.5116(1)	221(21)	
<b>C</b> (21)	-0·7357(10)	-0·3745(15)	-0.5114(1)	341(21)	
<b>C</b> (22)	-0.6882(11)	0.0712(15)	-0.4786(1)	200(15)	
<b>C</b> (23)		-0·4939(10)	-0.3731(2)	78(3)	
<b>C</b> (24)	-0.4566(13)	-0.6555(12)	-0.3854(3)	111(4)	
O(1)	-0·8276(5)	-0·0199(0)	-0·3017(1)	69(1)	
O(2)	-1·0777(5)	0.0618(8)	-0.3336(1)	78(2)	
O(3)	-0·9423(4)	0.0956(8)	-0·3991(1)	73(2)	
O(4)	-0·8475(6)	0.2900(8)	-0.3563(1)	87(2)	
O(5)	-0.6367(5)	-0·0908(8)	-0.4115(1)	82(2)	
O(6)	-0.6882(8)	-0.3367(13)	-0·4343(2)	123(3)	
O(7)		-0·4415(7)	-0.3433(1)	65(1)	
O(8)	-0·3001(6)	-0.4251(9)	-0.3883(1)	101(2)	

Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. (Vol. 55) (1990)

 $\Sigma$  values of 36.9° and 37.6° observed in crystal structures of closely related compounds II and III, respectively. In all these compounds the approximate two-fold axis passes through C(7) and the midpoint of the C(1)—C(10) bond. Such a conformation enables the formation of the fully-equatorial *cis*-fused  $\gamma$ -lactone at position C(6)—C(7) and reduces to its lowest value the strain energy associated with the axial substituents at C(1) and C(10). A perfect C<sub>2</sub> symmetry in the cycloheptane ring is destroyed in part by a *cis*-fusion with both 5-membered rings. Similar, though much less deformed conformation of cycloheptane was found in hysterin<sup>14</sup>, a pseudoguaianolide of ambrosanolide type, with ring junctions C(1)—C(5) *trans* and C(6)— C(7) *cis*. In this context it might be worth to mention that the stereostructural type

# TABLE II

Endocyclic torsion angles (°) in the three-ring system of slovanolides

Atoms	Compound		
	Ι	II	III
5-	Membered rin	ng	
C(5)-C(1)-C(2)-C(3)	34.3(6)	38.1(6)	33.0
C(1)-C(2)-C(3)-C(4)	-19.0(7)		-17.8
C(2)-C(3)-C(4)-C(5)	-5.2(7)	-9·0(6)	5.8
C(3)-C(4)-C(5)-C(1)	26.8(6)	32.1(6)	26.9
C(4)-C(5)-C(1)-C(2)	- 36.9(6)	-43·3(5)	- 36.6
10	-Membered ri	ng	
C(10)-C(1)-C(5)-C(6)	57.4(6)	- 58.8(6)	— 57·1
C(1)-C(5)-C(6)-C(7)	76.2(5)	78.4(6)	80.9
C(5)-C(6)-C(7)-C(8)	-25.9(5)	— 34·7(6)	- 34·1
C(6)-C(7)-C(8)-C(9)	- 56·2(7)	- 45·0(6)	— 52·4
C(7)-C(8)-C(9)-C(10)	98.0(7)	93.9(6)	96.9
C(8)-C(9)-C(10)-C(1)	-61·0(6)	- 69·9(7)	- 60.4
C(9)-C(10)-C(1)-C(5)	36.9(6)	44.9(7)	37.0
	γ-Lactone rin	g	
O(1)-C(6)-C(7)-C(11)	-19.8(5)	-25.5(5)	- 22·2
C(6)-C(7)-C(11)-C(12)	22.3(6)	27.5(6)	22.5
<b>C(7)-C(11)-C(12)</b> -O(1)	-18.1(2)	-20.0(5)	-15.2
C(11)-C(12)-O(1)-C(6)	5.5(5)	<b>4</b> ·2(6)	1.4
C(12)-O(1)-C(6)-C(7)	9.4(5)	13.8(5)	13.3

of the  $1\beta H, 5\beta H, 6\alpha H, 7\alpha H$ -guaian-6,12-olides represented by lactones *I*, *II* and *III* corresponds to the stereostructural type of  $5\beta(CH_3), 6\alpha H, 7\alpha H$ -pseudoguaian-6,12-olides to which hysterin belongs.

The  $\gamma$ -lactone ring is relatively flat and adopts conformation intermediate between  $7\alpha$ ,11 $\beta$ -half chair and  $7\alpha$ -envelope. The best preserved symmetry element, the two--fold axis, runs through the midpoint of the C(7)—C(11) bond. Several sesquiterpene  $\gamma$ -lactones have been reported to adopt a half-chair conformation. However, in a vast majority of examples previously reported atoms at the ring fusion, i.e. C(7) and C(6) or C(7) and C(8), were out of the ring plane, while in *I* it is C(11) which together with C(7) is displaced above and below the plane, respectively. This mode of puckering, which seems to be characteristic for *cis*-fused  $\gamma$ -lactones containing an esterified hydroxyl function<sup>15,16</sup> at C(11), is also observed in *II*, while in *III*, which lacks this hydroxyl group, the ring approximates a  $7\alpha$ -envelope conformation.

As expected from similarities in molecular formulas, and as it follows from Table II the molecules of I, II, and III are very similar in conformation. The molecules of native lactones I and III which differ only in the substitution at C(11) show in the solid state different orientation of the angeloyloxy substituent at C(8). A superposition of the two molecules is shown in Fig. 3. There is no possibility of hydrogen bonding in the crystals of I and the packing of the molecules is entirely due to van der Waals interactions.

U.R. wishes to thank the MEN for financial support of a part of this work (Problem number: RP II 10.1.2.).



FIG. 3

Crystallographic fitting of the carbocyclic rings of the molecules I (thick lines) and III

## REFERENCES

- 1. Holub M., Motl O., Samek Z., Herout V.: Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 37, 1186 (1972).
- Holub M., Samek Z., Vašíčková S., Masojídková M.: Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 43, 2444 (1978).
- Smitalová Z., Buděšínský M., Šaman D., Vašíčková S., Holub M.: Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 49, 852 (1984).
- 4. Rychlewska U., Holub M., Buděšínský M., Smítalová Z.: Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 49, 2790 (1984).
- 5. Krstanović I., Karanović L., Stefanović M., Dermanović M.: Cryst. Struct. Commun. 10, 793 (1981).
- 6. Lehman M. S., Larsen F. K.: Acta Crystallogr., A 30, 580 (1974).
- 7. Jaskólski M.: Thesis. A. Mickiewicz University, Poznań 1979.
- Main P., Fiske S. J., Hull S. E., Lessinger L., Germain G., Declercq J. P., Woolfson M. M.: MULTAN-80, A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. University of York, England and Louvain, Belgium 1980.
- Beurskens P. T., Bosman W. P., Doesburg H. M., Gould R. O., van den Hark Th. E. M., Patrick P. A. J., Noordick H. J., Beurskens G., Parthasarathi V.: DIRDIF, Direct Methods for Difference Structures, Tech. Rep. 1981/82. Crystallography Laboratory, Toernooiveld, 6525 ED Nijmegen, The Netherlands 1981.
- 10. Sheldrick G. M.: SHELX-76, Program for Crystal Structure Determination. University of Cambridge 1976.
- 11. Johnson C. K.: ORTEP, *Report ORNL*-3794, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, U.S.A. 1965.
- 12. Altona C., Geise H. J., Romers C.: Tetrahedron 24, 13 (1968).
- 13. McPhail A. T., Sim G. A.: Tetrahedron 29, 1751 (1973).
- Declercq J. P., Germain G., Van Meerssche M., Demuynck M., De Clercq P., Vandewalle M.: Acta Crystallogr., B 36, 213 (1980).
- 15. Rychlewska U.: J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1983, 1675.
- 16. Rychlewska U.: Acta Crystallogr., C 41, 1118 (1985).
- 17. Nyburg S. C.: Acta Crystallogr., B 30, 251 (1974).